

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	S3iiif	Object	The site will remove the local gap between Hedge End and Boorley Green, Botley. The site puts heavy pressure on the already local medical facilities, and road infrastructure.	-
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	DM24 (6)	Object	The whole site was brought forward despite massive local opposition. The Borough Council consultation was very poor, and misleading. The site is unsustainable, putting local Health care services under further pressure also road infrastructure.	-
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	DM24 (7)	Object	This site was removed from the local gap in November 2017 without public consultation. The site had won planning permission upon appeal, but the permission expired in October 2017. The site should be returned to local gap status.	-
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	DM24 (8)	Object	This site had Local Gap status removed without Public consultation in November 2017. The site is constrained, and has three High Pressure Strategic pipelines directly to the east. The site will put further stress on an already overloaded Sewerage system, that currently floods properties within the vicinity frequently. The developer has not held any community engagement on the proposals. The site will put local infrastructure under further pressure, including Medical facilities and roads.	-
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	DM24 (9)	Object	This site was removed from the local gap in November 2017 without public consultation. The site has now two application, one for up to 50 dwellings (Out line permission granted), and an alternative full application for 86 dwellings. The site is very constrained, having Strategic High Pressure pipelines directly to the west, one that is being replaced under a DCO. This has not been properly taken into account. The site will put further pressure on local infrastructure including medical facilities and roads. No public engagement by the developer has taken place.	-
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	DM24 (10)	Support	-	-

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-ED-J	CPRE Hampshire	DM24 (25)	Object	<p>CPRE Hampshire would like to express our concerns over the inclusion of Pembers Hill Farm in the Eastleigh Local Plan and the premature granting of permission for this site in January 2017. This permission pre-dated any opportunity for comments on the Local Plan and was therefore premature since the Plan had not at that date been subject to independent scrutiny by an Inspector during an Examination in Public.</p> <p>Please see our letter of January 2017 which refers to this point (letter is attached). But in summary it said “We believe that a decision on this site at this stage is premature and would prejudice any objective assessment of the strategic options that are being considered as part of the Local Plan process.</p> <p>An approval at Pembers Hill Farm would essentially give implicit acceptance by EBC that Options B and C are the chosen strategy and would therefore pre-empt any possibility for interested parties to comment and challenge these options through the examination in public. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. With the Local Plan process still underway, approving Pembers Hill may be contrary to this principle and to the NPPF inter alia; evaluating all available options, empowering local people, encouraging the use of brownfield land, conserving heritage assets and promoting sustainable transport.”</p> <p>Since permission was granted the Court of Justice of European Union has issued judgment on Cooperation Mobilisation for the Environment v Verenigin Leefmilieu (Dutch Nitrogen). If Pembers Hill Farm had been considered after the Local Plan process - as it should have been, it would have needed to prove that the site’s nitrogen neutrality, which is important in view of its location adjacent to headwaters of local watercourses.</p>	
EBCLP-86-Q	N/A - Individual	FO3	object	<p>In further developing on larger green spaces the borough is losing its identity. From the Limewood grange estate there is evidence of urban run off polluting the surrounding area and water sources. Traffic at rush hour is already in a state of crisis with the average journey from fair oak to Eastleigh along bishop stoke road taking over half an hour to travel a mile and a half. There is significant wildlife in the areas surrounding quobleigh pond which is being irreversibly destroyed by this development as with the proposed development north of stoke park wood. The concern of residents is that it will be too late when these environmental effects are realised.</p>	

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-82-K	N/A - Individual	BU8	Oppose	<p>I cannot find BU8 on the Local Plan Policies Map, and it is unclear to me what is meant by 'new open space' and exactly where it will be.</p> <p>I support new open space in principle but Long Lane is beset by traffic problems and any new open space should only have pedestrian access.</p> <p>Anything resulting in increased traffic will only exacerbate the current problems that occur at school drop off and pick up times.</p>	<p>Please clarify what is meant by 'new open space' and provide a map showing exactly where it is and how it will be accessed.</p>
EBCLP-EU-3	WYG on behalf of landowner	BU8	Oppose	<p>The Open Space Needs Assessment carried out by LUC in February 2017 assessed open spaces (pitches and amenity land) to the north and south of my clients land and noted works needed to the recreation ground to the north which have not been done. Bursledon, Hamble and Hound is noted as having the highest provision of amenity space provision per 1000 population. Both open spaces are identified as being of good quality. Based on the Council's own evidence, the current need for additional open space in Bursledon is unclear and it is not therefore, clear why my client's land has been incorrectly allocated for this purpose in this context. It is also apparent that investment in open space in this part of the District would be better spent carrying out identified works to existing open spaces (i.e. those set out above as being needed at Long Lane Recreation Ground). My client's are not adverse to some of the land being brought forwards for an alternative use, which may include an element of open space as part of a more comprehensive proposal, however, they do object to the entire site being allocated for that purpose.</p>	<p>(See attached letter)</p> <p>Raises process issues regarding engagement and consultation with the landowner and about errors in the Policies Map</p> <p>Request the following actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policies Map be corrected to remove existing open space designation 2. Policy BU8 be amended as, given my client's objection, the open space cannot be delivered
EBCLP-8U-P	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	<p>Hamble airfield is a valuable open area, used by local residents for safe pedestrian access between the village, the school, railway station and doctors' surgery.</p> <p>It is used already for leisure purposes.</p>	-
EBCLP-8R-K	Mercury Area Residents Association	HA3	support	<p>Having lived in Hamble for 50 years, I firmly believe this is the only possible outcome following sand and gravel extraction. This large open space is ideal for restoring to countryside, and it is unthinkable that any more houses can be built on the Hamble peninsula. Hundreds of houses or an industrial development would be a disaster.</p>	-
EBCLP-8N-F	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	<p>Proposal that Hamble Airfield should be restored as countryside and open space (following any sand and gravel extraction).</p> <p>Through I also do not want any Gravel extraction or housing on this site as will put more stress on the village and surrounding area (Roads environment) .</p>	<p>I have concern about the the Gravel extraction due to noise and roads useage .</p>
EBCLP-8Y-T	N/A - Individual	HA3	object	<p>Insufficient local infrastructure to support significant housing development. The airfield is an important strategic green gap between Hamble village and surrounding urban development.</p>	-

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-84-N	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	I support the proposal.	I would add a caveat that the use of the land for grazing should be properly managed and the methodology approved by the RSPCA to ensure proper animal management and care. A full licence fee should be paid which fully reflects the management costs of supervising the grazing licences.
EBCLP-8P-H	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	I support the return of the land to be an open space, i.e not built on.	-
EBCLP-8Z-U	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	The Airfield should be returned to countryside & open space for all residents to enjoy.	-
EBCLP-82-K	N/A - Individual	HA3	object	<p>I object to any proposal to extract sand and gravel on Hamble Airfield. It would destroy the current semi-wild habitat, which supports a wide variety of wildlife. Specifically, the current nature of the site supports many nesting Skylarks (a rapidly decreasing species, who need safe sites). The Skylark population declined by 75% between 1972 and 1996 (RSPB), due to intensification of cereal farming. Skylarks have a Red UK conservation status and they and their habitats are protected by The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. The long grass at the Airfield is an ideal nesting area for Skylarks and the iconic sound of a Skylark can frequently be heard.</p> <p>Any 'restoration' following gravel extraction would be unlikely to replace all the wildlife habitats and the Skylarks would be unlikely to return, along with many other species.</p> <p>I would recommend a full site survey is conducted at the Airfield to ascertain the biodiversity that currently exists and to take every measure to retain the habitats that support those species. Please- 'Save Our Skylarks' for the generations to come.</p>	<p>This would be my preferred wording: Hamble Airfield is to be retained as a site of special biodiversity, with full protection given to the habitats that support Skylarks (conservation status Red) and other endangered species. Sand and gravel extraction will not be allowed as it would destroy the existing protected habitats of endangered birds and wildlife. It will be an area where biodiversity is encouraged and where it can be studied, as it offers a semi-wild open habitat that is uncommon in the Borough of Eastleigh.</p>
EBCLP-85-P	N/A - Individual	HA3	support	Hamble Airport site should be restored as countryside and open space after sand and gravel extraction	-
EBCLP-89-T	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	This site is currently a valuable allotment resource for the community and is well cultivated and used. Its land use should not be altered.	-

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-8C-4	Busikids Day Nursery	HE7	object	<p>As the Principal of Busikids Day Nursery where we are able to care for up to 72 children under the age of 5 years every day, weekdays only, I am very concerned about the impact a cemetery right on our boundary will have.</p> <p>Parking is already an issue along this road.</p> <p>White vans at the top of the road often blocking the roundabout trying to get to the Amazon warehouse.</p> <p>Primarily the thought of all our parents and very young children having to follow a funeral procession on a daily basis as they come to Busikids or leave to go home.</p> <p>I feel this would have a negative impact on the positive role we play and also a massive impact on our business.</p> <p>Would you consider looking at a nursery for your baby if you had to follow a hearse to your first visit?</p> <p>We currently have good relations with the allotment holders and share our vegetable growing experiences. We also walk the children to the little wooded area just past the current allotments to study nature and play 'going on a Bear Hunt', I would not be comfortable to do this if funeral processions were coming and going all day, Nursery is full of fun and joy and whilst children will learn about life and death, this does not need to be a daily occurrence.</p>	

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-8A-2	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	<p>As a resident of Upper Northam Drive I would like to strongly object to this application - as residents we have had NO engagement on this matter or opportunity to feedback.</p> <p>Upper Northam Drive is a residential road that is being exploited for businesses and is increasingly becoming a dangerous road in terms of vehicle traffic volumes.</p> <p>Residents of Upper Northam Drive are experiencing increased traffic issues with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amazon vans parking on the road having allowed the depot to be built, our drives are consistently blocked with the vans with daily conversations required with Amazon - Too many businesses with lorries and large transportation vehicles speeding up and down the road from the early hours of the morning until late at night causing vibrations in the homes - Motorbikes/mopeds/scooters speeding up and down the road using the subway as a cut through to Hedge End and unsociable behaviour at the underpass with noise and speeding issues leading to residents regularly reporting this issue to the local police - full details will be kept on record. <p>The impact of having a cemetery in close proximity to our homes will not only impact those of us with young children and also the local nursery as not a conducive environment for young children - it will also decrease the value of our homes making it more difficult to move or sell the properties on the road.</p> <p>No doubt people will also start to park on the roads around Upper Northam Drive to visit the cemetery and will only add to the current excessive traffic issues. which are becoming worse by the day.</p> <p>There are numerous green areas that could accommodate a cemetery in the local area that are not in the heart of a housing area - it is completely inappropriate.</p>	
EBCLP-8F-7	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	<p>We live on Upper Northam Drive and feel that for many reasons putting a Cemetery right next to a child Nursery is not a good idea.</p> <p>Upper Northam Drive is a very busy road on a daily basis due to the many businesses located here, therefore this road would not be an appropriate setting for a Cemetery.</p>	

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-8S-M	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	I live opposite the proposed site and have not been informed at all on this change from allotments to cemetery I would like to know why you have not advised all those affected also of course I object to having to look out to a cemetery which I am sure anyone would, everyone affected would object and I will be taking this up with my councillor as this is not acceptable	There is a nursery nearby and busy roads this is not the place for a cemetery also the flood plain is too high it regularly floods would you like that in the water table
EBCLP-83-M	Busikids Ltd	HE7	object	I work in the nursery and believe that having a cemetery next door is inappropriate. If someone is being buried they wont want to hear our children in the garden. Also parents may be put off coming to us if they have to follow a horse down the road. Another reason i object is our older children sometimes learn about healthy eating and visit the allotments to learn about fruit and veg. Highly inappropriate to be next to a nursery!	Just highly inappropriate area!
EBCLP-8W-R	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	<p>Whilst understanding that the area needs new cemetery provision, I do not believe that this is the correct place for it. It is directly next to a childrens day nursery.</p> <p>The children will not benefit from seeing mourners attending funerals on a regular basis. It will cause an unpleasant environment for the children and their families. It will mean that parents and nursery staff will have to explain about death and funerals to answer children questions before they are developmentally ready. Whilst young children may come across death in their families, subjecting them to seeing mourners on a regular basis will not be good for their mental health.</p> <p>Conversely, mourners will not want to hear the sounds of children playing and shouting whilst they are saying their final goodbye to their loved ones.</p> <p>Funeral cortages will block the traffic on this narrow road. At the end of the road are some industrial units, one with many busses and coaches entering and exiting all day. This will cause traffic chaos and dangerous conditions on this road that has no pavement along this point.</p> <p>Overall, I oppose the provision of a cemetery on this site.</p>	
EBCLP-88-S	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	Implementing a cemetery next to a nursery would be highly inappropriate, not to mention the traffic it would cause, as well as possible obstacles for the nursery itself!	

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-87-R	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	There is nursery next door, which would be affected by there being a cemetery next door to it. Very young children from 3 months to 5 years attend this nursery and although children need to learn about life and death these ages are a little too young to have it 'in their faces' day in day out. It may affect their mental well being. Often the nursery uses the allotments as a example to explain to the children all about growing things and helping the environment by growing our own vegetables.	-
EBCLP-8B-3	Hedge End Town Council	HE7	object	It has been brought to our attention that a site previously identified and appraised by HETC before deeming unsuitable has not been removed from earlier reiterations of the 2016-2036 Local Plan. Please disregard this site and remove the future versions of the plan. On the 3 December 2009 Hedge End Town Council Recreation & Amenities Committee considered a report by Justin Smith of Cemetery Development Services regarding the site adjacent to Kanes Field and deemed the site unsuitable and the project unviable.	-
EBCLP-EQ-Y	N/A - Individual	HE7	object	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why are you encouraging burial with land and housing in short supply? 2. Only this year you encouraged people to take on allotments - will there be any compensation? 3. Why are you removing recreational facilities for older people? 4. Allotments are a social activity for many elderly. 5. Will you compensate people that have invested time and money over a number of years? 6. What alternatives are you offering? 	I believe the plan to be unsound as it penalises a specific group of people when unoccupied land could be found.
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	BO5	Support	-	-

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-E9-7	N/A - Individual	BO5	Object	<p>As a Botley resident who will be greatly affected by the bypass due to its proximity of the bypass to my house, I have concerns about vehicle and construction pollution during the bypass's construction, I think plans need to be in place to install / build sound / dust and vibration reducing measures prior to the actual bypass build, during the recent installation of the new sewer line, I along with several other residents endured vibration and noise and dust pollution which is not good for health or the structural integrity of our houses.</p> <p>As someone who lives in a house in excess of 150 years old, I have a feeling the vibration caused during this build process will cause structural failure of my house, it has no foundations as such only being built upon a brick raft as houses were during this era, I hope whoever is ultimately responsible for this project has a large compensation fund put by as I have a feeling whilst the bypass may help Botley, it certainly will ruin the quality of life for people living close to it, especially anyone near the Winchester Street/Holmesland lane/Bypass junction, this area when the bypass is built will almost certainly suffer traffic queues.</p> <p>The world health organisation has proved that both noise and air pollution cause both cardiac and respiratory issues.</p> <p>On a final note reference the uplands farm housing, Why could planners not construct a slip road from the top of this estate near the railway to join the bypass there and not direct hundreds of extra cars onto Winchester street every day at peak hours, this will almost certainly cause traffic bottle necks at peak times of vehicles waiting to join the bypass.</p> <p>In closing i'd like to say I will move before your bypass is ever built thats if i can sell my house with its closeness to the bypass, I will not live where traffic noise and pollution will ultimately shorten my life.</p>	
EBCLP-8G-8	N/A - Individual	BO7	Support	-	-
EBCLP-8Q-J	N/A - Individual	Not stated	Object	<p>With all the proposed sites the infrastructure to support these is laughable. The trafgc chaos this will cause will inevitably cause long delays and devalue existing properties. I am aware that housing is needed to meet the needs, however, concentrating so much housing in one small area of Hampshire is just not common sense.</p>	<p>Spread the housing needs across the whole of Hampshire preserving our much loved green spaces and amazing heritage and wild life</p>

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan - site notices consultation responses (July 2019)

ID No.	Organisation Name (if applicable)	Policy / para	Overall view	Comments summary	Further comments/amends
EBCLP-8K-C	N/A - Individual	Not stated	Object	<p>The sheer scale of proposed development is overwhelming to me. We need green spaces to maintain our physical and mental health. The extra traffic and pollution and that these developments would bring would will destroy wildlife which is already in serious trouble.</p>	<p>We do not need all of these houses. In addition these developments will be ugly and boring with no thought to wildlife, birdboxes, planting etc. We are in real trouble globally anyway but these proposed developments will contribute hugely. It is all about money and greed with these unscrupulous property developers.</p>